

Ground-Motion Estimation: Antelope tools and possibilities

*Antelope Users' Group Meeting
February 27-28, 2007
Trieste, Italy*

*Dr. Kent Lindquist
Lindquist Consulting, Inc.*

Goal

Understand the true 2D ground motion
right after an earthquake

Ideal Solution: Measure It!

One station
every 20 km



A Note on Terminology

- Nobody invented ground motion
- Earthquakes happen...
- ...The ground shakes.
- **Estimating:**
 - Exactly **how much**...
 - **Everywhere**...
 - ...**is complicated**.
- Generic term: **Ground Motion Estimation (GME)**
- **Research field with Operational requirements**

Ideal Solution: Measure It!

One station
every 20 km



Antelope and Ground-Motion: Two Current Approaches

- **The dbgme approach:**

- Entirely Antelope-based

- **The ShakeMap™ approach:**

- U.S. Geological Survey ShakeMap™ code
- Antelope processing substrate
- Linkage code

Current Users

- The dbgme approach:

- SSN

- The ShakeMap™ approach

- U. of Alaska, Fairbanks
- U. of Nevada, Reno
- Differing architectures

- And

- ???
- Others potentially interested

Tool sets

● The dbgme approach:

- Dbwfmeas / orbwfmeas
- Dbgme
- Dbgme_show

● The ShakeMap™ approach

- ShakeMap™ conglomerate calc/display software
- Dbwfmeas, orbwfmeas or alternative (?)
- Db2shakemap_xml
- Make_shakemap_qtm
- Shakemapgrid2cggrid
- Shakemapxml2db
- Other ad-hoc solutions?

Orbserver

wfmgme table

dbgme

- orbdetect
- orbtrigger
- orbassoc
- orbmag

gme1.0: qgrid table

origin table

February 27-28, 2007

Lindquist Consulting, Inc.

Dbgme structure

- One parameter file: **recipes**

dbgme

Dbview, parameter file

recipe1

recipe2

recipe3

trinetsm_es99

Delegate A

Delegate B

Trinetsm_es99

cggrid2db()

Delegates: C, Matlab, Shell

Strengths

● The dbgme approach:

- Strong, clean architecture
- Complete integration with Antelope
- Complete separation of computation, presentation
- Algorithmic extension mechanisms

● The ShakeMap™ approach

- More sophisticated models
 - Extended source
 - Uncertainties
- Scenario earthquakes
- More web displays

Weaknesses

● The dbgme approach:

- Not as much sophistication in existing delegates
- Extension mechanism hasn't been used except by author
- Not as many web tools have been written to date

● The ShakeMap™ approach

- Complicated and expensive to configure and run
- Computation and presentation are tangled
- Linkages to Antelope are
 - limited
 - need work
 - Ugly problem

GME: What Next?

- Rewrite of dbgme ??

- Danny Harvey's embedded-perl approach
- Similar to new magnitude-computation
- Flexible, user-controlled research and operations tool

- Links with new web tools ??

- Coordination with ShakeMap™ ??

- Optional cast as slave to new dbgme; or
- Re-engineer ShakeMap™ linkages independently

- Programming tasks:

- Significant effort
- Must be approached in architectural context
- tractable

- Needs community support

- Feedback welcome!